

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address CoMMESSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADE MARKS Washington DO 2 223

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKLENO	CONFIRMATION NO
09 764,721	01.18.2001	Masami Fukunaga	211A 3059	6615
75	90 03 17 2003			
KODA & ANDROLIA			EXAMINER.	
Suite 3850 2029 Century Park East			LEON, EDWIN A	
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
		DATÉ MAILED, 03-17-2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/764,721 FUKUNAGA MASAMI , Advisory Action Examiner Art Unit Edwin A León 2833 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 11 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection The period for reply expires on (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1 136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from (1) the expiration date of the shortened statistory period for reply originally set in the final Office action, or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on ... Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal, 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the

(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment

5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit. b) ☐ exhibit. or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

issues for appeal; and/or

canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):

application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet

Note: the after the post of an engineering state of the contraction of the second

NOTE:

Claim(s) allowed:

10.[___Other

Claim(s) objected to:

Continuation of 5 does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. In response to Applicant's argument regarding Claim 1, that the Ohshima reference does not show two slide plates. Applicant's attention is directed to Fig. 1 in which Ohshima clearly discloses the two slide plates (11.12). Furthermore: Applicant's attention is directed to Column 4. Lines 21-53 and Fig. 7 in which Ohshima clearly states the both plates (11.12) slide and work exactly the same way as Applicant's plates. Also regarding Applicant's argument that the slide plates should be interpreted in light of the Specification. Applicant is reminded that it is the claims that define the claimed invention, and it is claims, not specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices Inc. 7. USPQ2d 1064.

P. Bradley